MINUTES

University Curriculum Committee for Liberal Education (UCCLE)

October 2, 2013, 2:30-3:45 p.m. 230 Student Services Building

Members Present: Blake Barnhill, Richard Ferraro, Paul Heilker, Ann-Marie Knoblauch, Kate McConnell, Carolyn Meier, Robert Oliver, Don Orth, Marie Paretti, Marlene Preston, Renee Selberg-Eaton, Deborah Smith, Daniel Strock, Art Keown (for V. Magnini)

Members Absent: Althea Aschmann (retired), Sheila Carter-Tod, Jill Sible,

Guests Present: Dakota Farquhar-Caddell, Stephen Biscotte, Willie Caldwell

- 1. Call to Order: Meeting called to order by Marlene Preston at 2pm
- 2. Approval of agenda: Motion approved, seconded, and passed unanimously
- **3. Approval of minutes:** Minutes from the Sept 4 meeting were approved electronically September 20^t, 2013.
- 4. Marlene's update: proposal status, committee direction, survey responses
 - Speculation about the future of the CLE -- Note article in *Collegiate Times* providing one student's perspective about our process: http://www.collegiatetimes.com/stories/21331/plans-to-change-cle-take-off
 - Members of UCCLE are not solely responsible for enhancing general education.
 Members of administrative team Rachel Holloway, Jill Sible, Kate McConnell, Peter Doolittle, Shelli Fowler, and Marlene (with support from Willie Caldwell and Stephen Biscotte) have been considering the proposal in the light of feedback from other faculty, the constraints that affect general education, and the faculty development necessary.
 - Constraints (handout) include (1) excerpts from the Strategic Plan that highlights the role of the majors and general education in the future and (2) requirements from SACS and SCHEV
 - Updated vision of plan (handout) provided by Jill Sible -- potential "Pathways to General Education." Revision of gen ed must meet needs of transfers and freshmen, offer alternatives to completion, and meet the major learning outcomes.
 - In further efforts to broaden the conversation, Jill Sible is building Curricular Planning Teams that can define, explore, and subdivide each learning outcome (existing courses, proposed courses, etc.).
 - UCCLE member survey responses indicated that integrative learning should be part of the revised plan, but need not be a focal point.
 - UCCLE will approve new framework and continue to work on logistics while the proposal works its way through governance.
- **5. Discussion groups**: intersection of proposal, proposal feedback, gen ed requirements, strategic plan

- Goal for today: Consider hours for each learning outcome, the stakeholders (students, depts., etc.), and the different pathways.
- Each group provided with a list of topics to discuss: blog comments, strategic plan, SCHEV/SACS, needs of students, committee comments, Pathways

6. Reports from groups:

- Blog comments -
 - seem to suggest that some faculty groups are uncomfortable with the proposal
 - o No one model will please everyone.
 - Majors have invested years in finding ways to work with the current model of gen ed and may not be willing to change.
- Strategic Plan information the committee hadn't been asked to consider before
- <u>SCHEV and SACS</u> -- If SCHEV requires 30 why are we trying to go above this? Be pragmatic about what we can actually achieve and deliver.
- Needs for students
 - Core in proposal seems to be reasonable for transfer students from community colleges – mindful of articulation agreements
 - o various student entry points for learning outcomes
 - Be sure to include some information about financial aspects.
- Core and Comprehensive learning outcomes (LO)
 - Are the three FYE learning outcomes carrying forward? First year is based in majors now but could carry into gen ed
 - Writing/speaking/communication/foreign language hours can all fall into discourse. Should these be met in major or in gen ed in order to create liberally educated students?
 - Gen ed should not be specifically tailored to careers or majors--can be resold as preparing students for any job
 - How does foreign language fit? Cultural competence is of of utmost importance.
 - o Financial literacy should be a consideration.
 - Transdisciplinary and beyond good way of thinking about gen ed
 - o If we articulate something as a L.O. it needs to be met in gen ed and be assessed. Other competencies, such as "critical thinking," aren't listed because they can be met in major (according to SCHEV or SACS).
 - For accreditation we need LOs for general education and must assess them in gen ed courses. Curricular Planning Teams will create definitions of each L.O. to figure out examples/skills/content that will be included.
- **7. Adjournment**: A motion was made to adjourn the meeting at 3:45 p.m. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

Minutes submitted by Stephen Biscotte